Linear classifiers: Outline

- Examples of classification models: nearest neighbor, linear
- Empirical loss minimization framework
- Linear classification models
    1. Linear regression
    2. Logistic regression
    3. Perceptron loss
    4. SVM loss
- General recipe: Data loss, regularization
- Multi-class classification
    1. Multi-class perceptron
    2. Multi-class SVM
    3. Softmax

Recall: The shape of logistic loss

$$l(w, x_i, y_i) = -\log \sigma(y_i w^T x_i)$$



Figure source

Perceptron

- Let's define the *perceptron hinge loss*:

$$l(w, x_i, y_i) = \max(0, -y_i w^T x_i)$$



Perceptron

- Let's define the *perceptron hinge loss*:

$$l(w, x_i, y_i) = \max(0, -y_i w^T x_i)$$

- Training: find w that minimizes

$$\hat{L}(w) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} l(w, x_i, y_i) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(0, -y_i w^T x_i)$$

- Once again, there is no closed-form solution, so let's go straight to SGD

Deriving the perceptron update

- Let's differentiate the perceptron hinge loss:

$$l(w, x_i, y_i) = \max(0, -y_i w^T x_i)$$

(Strictly speaking, this loss is not differentiable, so we need to find a *sub-gradient*)



Deriving the perceptron update

- Let's differentiate the perceptron hinge loss:

$$l(w, x_i, y_i) = \max(0, -y_i w^T x_i)$$

$$\nabla l(w, x_i, y_i) = -\mathbb{I}[y_i w^T x_i < 0] y_i x_i$$

$$\frac{d}{da} \max(0, a) =$$



Deriving the perceptron update

- Let's differentiate the perceptron hinge loss:

$$l(w, x_i, y_i) = \max(0, -y_i w^T x_i)$$

$$\nabla l(w, x_i, y_i) = -\mathbb{I}[y_i w^T x_i < 0] y_i x_i$$

- We also used the chain rule: $\left[g_2\big(g_1(a)\big)\right]' = g_2{}'\big(g_1(a)\big) g_1{}'(a)$

Deriving the perceptron update

- Let's differentiate the perceptron hinge loss:

$$l(w, x_i, y_i) = \max(0, -y_i w^T x_i)$$

$$\nabla l(w, x_i, y_i) = -\mathbb{I}[y_i w^T x_i < 0] y_i x_i$$

- Corresponding SGD update ($w \leftarrow w - \eta \nabla l(w, x_i, y_i)$):

$$w \leftarrow w + \eta \, \mathbb{I}[y_i w^T x_i < 0] y_i x_i$$

  - If x_i is correctly classified: do nothing
  - If x_i is incorrectly classified: $w \leftarrow w + \eta \, y_i x_i$

Understanding the perceptron update rule

- **Perceptron update rule:** If $y_i \neq \mathrm{sgn}(w^T x_i)$ then update weights:

$$w \leftarrow w + \eta\, y_i x_i$$

- The raw response of the classifier changes to

$$w^T x_i + \eta\, y_i \|x_i\|^2$$

- How does the response change if $y_i = 1$?
  - The response $w^T x_i$ is initially *negative* and will be *increased*
- How does the response change if $y_i = -1$?
  - The response $w^T x_i$ is initially *positive* and will be *decreased*

Linear classifiers: Outline

- Example classification models: nearest neighbor, linear
- Empirical loss minimization
- Linear classification models
  1. Linear regression (least squares)
  2. Logistic regression
  3. Perceptron loss
  4. Support vector machine (SVM) loss

Support vector machines

- When the data is linearly separable, which of the many possible solutions should we prefer?

  - **Perceptron training algorithm:** no special criterion, solution depends on initialization



Support vector machines

- When the data is linearly separable, which of the many possible solutions should we prefer?
  - **Perceptron training algorithm:** no special criterion, solution depends on initialization
  - **SVM criterion:** maximize the *margin*, or distance between the hyperplane and the closest training example



Finding the maximum margin hyperplane

- We want to maximize the margin, or distance between the hyperplane $w^T x = 0$ and the closest training example x_0
- This distance is given by $\frac{|w^T x_0|}{\|w\|}$ (for derivation see, e.g., here)
- Assuming the data is linearly separable, we can fix the scale of w so that $y_i w^T x_i = 1$ for support vectors and $y_i w^T x_i \geq 1$ for all other points
- Then the margin is given by $\frac{1}{\|w\|}$



Finding the maximum margin hyperplane

- We want to maximize margin $\frac{1}{\|w\|}$ while correctly classifying all training data: $y_i w^T x_i \geq 1$
- Equivalent problem:

$$\min_w \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad y_i w^T x_i \geq 1 \ \ \forall i$$

- This is a quadratic objective with linear constraints: convex optimization problem, global optimum can be found using well-studied methods

“Soft margin” formulation

- What about non-separable data?
- And even for separable data, we may prefer a larger margin with a few constraints violated



Source

“Soft margin” formulation

- What about non-separable data?
- And even for separable data, we may prefer a larger margin with a few constraints violated



Source

“Soft margin” formulation

- Penalize margin violations using SVM hinge loss:

$$\min_w \frac{\lambda}{2}\|w\|^2 \quad + \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max[0, 1 - y_i w^T x_i]$$





“Soft margin” formulation

- Penalize margin violations using SVM hinge loss:

$$\min_w \frac{\lambda}{2}\|w\|^2 \quad + \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max[0, 1 - y_i w^T x_i]$$





Recall hinge loss used by the perceptron update algorithm!

“Soft margin” formulation

- Penalize margin violations using SVM hinge loss:

$$\min_w \underbrace{\frac{\lambda}{2}\|w\|^2}_{\substack{\text{Maximize margin –}\\ \text{a.k.a. } \textit{regularization}}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max[0, 1 - y_i w^T x_i]}_{\text{Minimize misclassification loss}}$$

SGD update for SVM

$$l(w, x_i, y_i) = \frac{\lambda}{2n}\|w\|^2 + \max[0, 1 - y_i w^T x_i]$$

$$\nabla l(w, x_i, y_i) = \frac{\lambda}{n} w - \mathbb{I}[y_i w^T x_i < 1] y_i x_i$$

Recall: $\frac{d}{da}\max(0, a) = \mathbb{I}[a > 0]$

SGD update for SVM

$$l(w, x_i, y_i) = \frac{\lambda}{2n} \|w\|^2 + \max[0, 1 - y_i w^T x_i]$$

$$\nabla l(w, x_i, y_i) = \frac{\lambda}{n} w - \mathbb{I}[y_i w^T x_i < 1] y_i x_i$$

- SGD update:
  - If $y_i w^T x_i \geq 1$: $w \leftarrow w - \eta \frac{\lambda}{n} w$
  - If $y_i w^T x_i < 1$: $w \leftarrow w + \eta \left(y_i x_i - \frac{\lambda}{n} w \right)$

S. Shalev-Schwartz et al., [Pegasos: Primal Estimated sub-GrAdient SOlver for SVM](), *Mathematical Programming*, 2011

Linear classifiers: Outline

- Examples of classification models: nearest neighbor, linear
- Empirical loss minimization framework
- Linear classification models
  1. Linear regression
  2. Logistic regression
  3. Perceptron training algorithm
  4. Support vector machines
- General recipe: data loss, regularization

General recipe

- Find parameters w that minimize the sum of a *regularization loss* and a *data loss*:

$$\hat{L}(w) = \lambda R(w) + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} l(w, x_i, y_i)$$

empirical loss — regularization — data loss

L2 regularization:

$$R(w) = \frac{1}{2}\|w\|_2^2$$



Closer look at L2 regularization

- Regularized objective: $\hat{L}(w) = \frac{\lambda}{2}\|w\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(w, x_i, y_i)$
- Gradient of objective:

$$\nabla\hat{L}(w) = \lambda w + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla l(w, x_i, y_i)$$

- SGD update:

$$w \leftarrow w - \eta\left(\frac{\lambda}{n} w + \nabla l(w, x_i, y_i)\right)$$

$$w \leftarrow \left(1 - \frac{\eta\lambda}{n}\right) w - \eta \nabla l(w, x_i, y_i)$$

- Interpretation: weight decay

General recipe

- Find parameters w that minimize the sum of a *regularization loss* and a *data loss*:

$$\hat{L}(w) = \lambda R(w) + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} l(w, x_i, y_i)$$

empirical loss — regularization — data loss

L2 regularization:

$$R(w) = \frac{1}{2}\|w\|_2^2$$

L1 regularization:

$$R(w) = \|w\|_1$$



Closer look at L1 regularization

- Regularized objective:

$$\hat{L}(w) = \lambda \|w\|_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(w, x_i, y_i)$$

$$= \lambda \sum_{d} \left| w^{(d)} \right| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(w, x_i, y_i)$$

- Gradient: $\nabla \hat{L}(w) = \lambda \operatorname{sgn}(w) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla l(w, x_i, y_i)$

  (here sgn is an elementwise function)

- SGD update:

$$w \leftarrow w - \frac{\eta\lambda}{n} \operatorname{sgn}(w) - \eta \nabla l(w, x_i, y_i)$$

- Interpretation: encouraging sparsity

Linear classifiers: Outline

- Examples of classification models: nearest neighbor, linear
- Empirical loss minimization framework
- Linear classification models
  1. Linear regression
  2. Logistic regression
  3. Perceptron training algorithm
  4. Support vector machines
- General recipe: data loss, regularization
- Multi-class classification
  1. Multi-class perceptron
  2. Multi-class SVM
  3. Softmax

One-vs-all classification

- Let $y \in \{1, \ldots, C\}$
- Learn C scoring functions $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_C$
- Classify x to class $\hat{y} = \text{argmax}_c\, f_c(x)$
- Let's start with multi-class perceptrons:

$$f_c(x) = w_c^T x$$



Multi-class perceptrons

- Multi-class perceptrons: $f_c(x) = w_c^T x$
- Let W be the matrix with rows w_c
- What loss should we use for multi-class classification?



Figure source: Stanford 231n

Multi-class perceptrons

- Multi-class perceptrons: $f_c(x) = w_c^T x$
- Let W be the matrix with rows w_c
- What loss should we use for multi-class classification?
- For (x_i, y_i), let the loss be the *sum of hinge losses* associated with predictions for all *incorrect* classes:

$$l(W, x_i, y_i) = \sum_{c \neq y_i} \max[0, w_c^T x_i - w_{y_i}^T x_i]$$

Score for correct class (y_i) has to be greater than the score for the incorrect class (c)

Multi-class perceptrons

$$l(W, x_i, y_i) = \sum_{c \neq y_i} \max[0, w_c^T x_i - w_{y_i}^T x_i]$$

- Gradient w.r.t. w_{y_i}:

$$-\sum_{c \neq y_i} \mathbb{I}\,[w_c^T x_i > w_{y_i}^T x_i] x_i$$

Recall: $\frac{d}{da} \max(0, a) = \mathbb{I}[a > 0]$

Multi-class perceptrons

$$l(W, x_i, y_i) = \sum_{c \neq y_i} \max[0, w_c^T x_i - w_{y_i}^T x_i]$$

- Gradient w.r.t. w_{y_i}:

$$-\sum_{c \neq y_i} \mathbb{I}\,[w_c^T x_i > w_{y_i}^T x_i] x_i$$

- Gradient w.r.t. w_c, $c \neq y_i$:

$$\mathbb{I}[w_c^T x_i > w_{y_i}^T x_i] x_i$$

- Update rule: for each c s.t. $w_c^T x_i > w_{y_i}^T x_i$:

$$w_{y_i} \leftarrow w_{y_i} + \eta x_i$$
$$w_c \leftarrow w_c - \eta x_i$$

Multi-class perceptrons

- Update rule: for each c s.t. $w_c^T x_i > w_{y_i}^T x_i$:

$$w_{y_i} \leftarrow w_{y_i} + \eta x_i$$
$$w_c \leftarrow w_c - \eta x_i$$

- Is this equivalent to training C independent one-vs-all classifiers?


input image

	Independent	Multi-class
Cat score: 65.1	Do nothing	Increase
Dog score: 101.4	Decrease	Decrease
Ship score: 24.9	Decrease	Do nothing

Multi-class SVM

- Recall single-class SVM loss:

$$l(w, x_i, y_i) = \frac{\lambda}{2n} \|w\|^2 + \max[0, 1 - y_i w^T x_i]$$

- Generalization to multi-class:

$$l(W, x_i, y_i) = \frac{\lambda}{2n} \|W\|^2 + \sum_{c \neq y_i} \max[0, 1 - w_{y_i}^T x_i + w_c^T x_i]$$

Score for correct class has to be greater than the score for the incorrect class *by at least a margin of* 1



Score for correct class – score for incorrect class

Source: [Stanford 231n]

Multi-class SVM

$$l(W, x_i, y_i) = \frac{\lambda}{2n} \|W\|^2 + \sum_{c \neq y_i} \max[0, 1 - w_{y_i}^T x_i + w_c^T x_i]$$

- Gradient w.r.t. w_{y_i}:

$$\frac{\lambda}{n} w_{y_i} - \sum_{c \neq y_i} \mathbb{I}[w_{y_i}^T x_i - w_c^T x_i < 1] x_i$$

- Gradient w.r.t. w_c, $c \neq y_i$:

$$\frac{\lambda}{n} w_c + \mathbb{I}[w_{y_i}^T x_i - w_c^T x_i < 1] x_i$$

- Update rule (almost* equivalent to above):
  - For each $c \neq y_i$ s.t. $w_{y_i}^T x_i - w_c^T x_i < 1$: $w_{y_i} \leftarrow w_{y_i} + \eta x_i$, $w_c \leftarrow w_c - \eta x_i$
  - For $c = 1, \ldots, C$: $w_c \leftarrow \left(1 - \eta \frac{\lambda}{n}\right) w_c$

Announcements

- Assignment 1 is out, due Tuesday, February 14
- Quiz 1 will be available 9AM this Thursday, February 9, through 9AM Monday, February 13

Review: Three ways to think about linear classifiers

Algebraic Viewpoint

$$f_W(x) = Wx + b$$



Visual Viewpoint

One template
per class

Geometric Viewpoint

Hyperplanes
cutting up space



Source: [J. Johnson]

Review: General recipe for training classifiers

- Find parameters w that minimize the sum of a *regularization loss* and a *data loss*:

$$\hat{L}(w) = \lambda R(w) + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} l(w, x_i, y_i)$$

empirical loss — regularization — data loss

L2 regularization:

$$R(w) = \frac{1}{2}\|w\|_2^2$$

L1 regularization:

$$R(w) = \|w\|_1$$



Last week: Linear classifiers

- Examples of classification models: nearest neighbor, linear
- Empirical loss minimization framework
- Linear classification models
  1. Linear regression
  2. Logistic regression
  3. Perceptron training algorithm
  4. Support vector machines
- General recipe: data loss, regularization
- Multi-class classification
  - Multi-class perceptrons
  - Multi-class SVM
  - Softmax

Softmax

- We want to squash the vector of responses $(f_1, \ldots, f_c)$ into a vector of “probabilities”:

$$\text{softmax}(f_1, \ldots, f_c) = \left(\frac{\exp(f_1)}{\sum_j \exp(f_j)}, \ldots, \frac{\exp(f_C)}{\sum_j \exp(f_j)} \right)$$

- The outputs are between 0 and 1 and sum to 1
- If one of the inputs (*logits*) is much larger than the others, then the corresponding softmax value will be close to 1 and others will be close to 0

Softmax and sigmoid

- For two classes:

$$\mathrm{softmax}(f, -f) = \left(\frac{\exp(f)}{\exp(f) + \exp(-f)}, \frac{\exp(-f)}{\exp(f) + \exp(-f)}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{1+\exp(-2f)}, \frac{1}{\exp(2f)+1}\right)$$

$$= (\sigma(2f), \sigma(-2f))$$

- Thus, softmax is the generalization of sigmoid for more than two classes

Cross-entropy loss

- It is natural to use negative log likelihood loss with softmax:

$$l(W, x_i, y_i) = -\log P_W(y_i|x_i) = -\log\left(\frac{\exp(w_{y_i}^T x_i)}{\sum_j \exp(w_j^T x_i)}\right)$$

- This is also the *cross-entropy* between the "empirical" distribution $\hat{P}(c|x_i) = \mathbb{I}[c = y_i]$ and "estimated" distribution $P_W(c|x_i)$:

$$-\sum_c \hat{P}(c|x_i) \log P_W(c|x_i)$$



Empirical distribution $\hat{P}(c|x_i)$



Estimated distribution $P_W(c|x_i)$

SVM loss vs. cross-entropy loss



Correct class is the third one (blue)

Source: [Stanford 231n]

SGD with cross-entropy loss

$$l(W, x_i, y_i) = -\log P_W(y_i|x_i) = -\log\left(\frac{\exp(w_{y_i}^T x_i)}{\sum_j \exp(w_j^T x_i)}\right)$$

$$= -w_{y_i}^T x_i + \log\left(\sum_j \exp(w_j^T x_i)\right)$$

- Gradient w.r.t. w_{y_i}:

$$-x_i + \frac{\exp(w_{y_i}^T x_i)x_i}{\sum_j \exp(w_j^T x_i)} = (P_W(y_i|x_i) - 1)x_i$$

- Gradient w.r.t. w_c, $c \neq y_i$:

$$\frac{\exp(w_c^T x_i)x_i}{\sum_j \exp(w_j^T x_i)} = P_W(c|x_i)x_i$$

SGD with cross-entropy loss

- Gradient w.r.t. w_{y_i}: $(P_W(y_i|x_i) - 1)x_i$
- Gradient w.r.t. w_c, $c \neq y_i$: $P_W(c|x_i)x_i$
- Update rule:
  - For y_i:
    $$w_{y_i} \leftarrow w_{y_i} + \eta\big(1 - P_W(y_i|x_i)\big)x_i$$
  - For $c \neq y_i$:
    $$w_c \leftarrow w_c - \eta P_W(c|x_i)x_i$$

Softmax trick: Avoiding overflow

- Exponentiated values $\exp(f_c)$ can become very large and cause overflow
- Note that adding the same constant to all softmax inputs (*logits*) does not change the output of the softmax:

$$\frac{\exp(f_c)}{\sum_j \exp(f_j)} = \frac{K \exp(f_c)}{\sum_j K \exp(f_j)} = \frac{\exp(f_c + \log K)}{\sum_j \exp(f_j + \log K)}$$

- Then we can let $\log K = -\max_j f_j$ (i.e., make largest input to softmax be 0)

Softmax trick: Temperature scaling

- Suppose we divide every input to the softmax by the same constant T:

$$\text{softmax}(f_1, \ldots, f_c; T) = \left(\frac{\exp(f_1/T)}{\sum_j \exp(f_j/T)}, \ldots, \frac{\exp(f_C/T)}{\sum_j \exp(f_j/T)} \right)$$

  - Prior to normalization, each entry $\exp(f_1)$ is raised to the power $1/T$
  - If T is close to 0, the largest entry will dominate and output distribution will approach *one-hot*
  - If T is high, output distribution will approach uniform



Source

Softmax trick: Temperature scaling



Figure source

Softmax trick: Label smoothing

- Recall: cross-entropy loss measures the difference between the "observed" label distribution $\hat{P}(c|x_i)$ and "estimated" distribution $P_W(c|x_i)$:

$$-\sum_c \hat{P}(c|x_i) \log P_W(c|x_i)$$

"Hard" prediction targets



Empirical distribution $\hat{P}(c|x_i)$



Estimated distribution $P_W(c|x_i)$

Softmax trick: Label smoothing

- Recall: cross-entropy loss measures the difference between the "observed" label distribution $\hat{P}(c|x_i)$ and "estimated" distribution $P_W(c|x_i)$:

$$-\sum_c \hat{P}(c|x_i) \log P_W(c|x_i)$$

"Soft" prediction targets



Empirical distribution $\hat{P}(c|x_i)$



Estimated distribution $P_W(c|x_i)$

Softmax trick: Label smoothing

- When using softmax loss, replace hard 1 and 0 prediction targets with "soft" targets of $1 - \epsilon$ and $\frac{\epsilon}{C-1}$
- Why is this a good idea?
  - A form of regularization to avoid overly confident predictions, account for label noise